UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,390	07/09/2001	Dean Furbush	09857-058001	9348
26161 7590 07/10/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		• .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/903,390	FURBUSH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Narayanswamy Subramanian	3692			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.			
_	on of Claims					
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subjected to:	r election requirement				
		election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a)[•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicant's communication of July 9, 2001.

Original claims 1-21 are pending and have been examined. The rejections are stated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory Subject matter.

35 USC 101 requires that in order to be patentable the invention must be a "new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof" (emphasis added).

Claims 1-21 are drawn to "an electronic market system, method and a computer program product for trading of securities comprises: a client station for entering a directed order to begin a negotiation process with a selected quoting market participant; a server system receiving the directed order, the server system delivering the order, as a liability or non-liability order in accordance with how the selected quoting market participant chooses to receive directed orders". As such the claimed invention is directed to a judicial exception to 35 U.S.C. 101 (i.e., an abstract idea, natural phenomenon, or law of nature) and is not directed to a practical application of such judicial exception because the claims do not require any physical transformation and the invention as claimed does not produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued opinions in State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group Inc., 149 F. 3d 1368, 47 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1998) and

Art Unit: 3692

AT&T Corp. v. Excel Communications, Inc., 172 F.3d 1352, 50 USPQ2d 1447 (Fed. Cir. 1999). These decisions explained that, to be eligible for patent protection, the claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74, 47 USPQ2d at 1601 02. To satisfy section 101 requirements, the claim must be for a practical application of the § 101 judicial exception, which can be identified in various ways: (a) The claimed invention "transforms" an article or physical object to a different state or thing. (b) The claimed invention otherwise produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, based on the factors discussed below.

The USPTO's official interpretation of the utility requirement provides that the utility of an invention has to be (i) specific, (ii) substantial and (iii) credible. See MPEP § 2107. The claimed invention does not meet this requirement.

The tangible requirement does require that the claim must recite more than a § 101 judicial exception, in that the process claim must set forth a practical application of that § 101 judicial exception to produce a real-world result. Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-72, 175 USPQ at 676-77 (invention ineligible because had "no substantial practical application"). It is not clear as to what real world result is produced by implementing the claimed invention. The last step of delivering the order does not imply trading.

For an invention to produce a "concrete" result, the process must have a result that can be substantially repeatable or the process must substantially produce the same result again. <u>In re Swartz</u>, 232 F.3d 862, 864, 56 USPQ2d 1703, 1704 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (where asserted result produced by the claimed invention is "irreproducible" claim should be rejected under section 101). The opposite of "concrete" is unrepeatable or unpredictable. The limitations of the claimed

invention are not sufficiently precise to guarantee that result that is substantially repeatable or the process produces the same result again.

There is no useful, concrete and tangible result produced from implementing the steps of the claimed invention. The dependent claims are rejected for the same reason and by way of dependency on a rejected independent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: Claims 1-21 recite the limitation " delivering the order, as a liability or non-liability order". However the step for determining the order, as a liability or non-liability order is missing in these claims. Also it is not clear what the applicants mean by the terms "a liability order" and "non-liability order". Appropriate clarification/correction is required.

Claims 2-3, 13, and 18 recite "MMID". It is not clear what the applicants mean by MMID. Appropriate clarification/correction is required.

Claims 9-10, 14-15 and 19-20 recite "at least one normal unit of trading in excess of an attributable quote/order". It is not clear what the applicants mean by "attributable quote/order" and "at least one normal unit of trading in excess of an attributable quote/order". Is the normal unit of trading a measure of price or is it a measure of volume. Appropriate clarification/correction is required.

In view of these ambiguities the examiner is unable to provide art rejections for these

claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure are listed on the attached form PTO-892.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is

(571) 272-6751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to

7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Kramer can be reached at (571) 272-6783. The fax number for Formal or

Official faxes and Draft to the Patent Office is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PMR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dr. N. Subramanian

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3692

June 24, 2007